

<b>Council</b>	<b>Agenda Item 84(c)</b>
24 March 2011	Brighton & Hove City Council

<b>Subject:</b>	<b>Save the Drive Cycle Lanes, Hove</b>		
<b>Date of Meeting:</b>	<b>24 March 2011</b>		
<b>Report of:</b>	<b>Monitoring Officer</b>		
<b>Contact Officer:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Mark Wall	<b>Tel:</b> 29-1006	
	<b>E-mail:</b> mark.wall@brighton-hove.gov.uk		
<b>Wards Affected:</b>	<b>All</b>		

**For general release**

**Note:** The subject matter of the petition is an executive function and therefore not one that Full Council can make a decision on.

**PETITION TRIGGERING A FULL COUNCIL DEBATE**

**1. SUMMARY AND POLICY CONTEXT:**

- 1.1 Under the Council's Petition Scheme if a petition contains more than 1,250 signatures and is not a petition requesting officer evidence, it will be debated by the Full Council.
- 1.2 A combined paper and e-petition has resulted in triggering a debate at the council meeting, having exceeded the threshold with a total of 3,543 signatures.

**2. RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- 2.1 That the petition is referred to the Environment Cabinet Member Meeting for consideration.

**3. RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION / CHRONOLOGY OF KEY EVENTS:**

**3.1 The Petition**

"We the undersigned, call on the Conservative administration running Brighton & Hove City Council to withdraw its proposals to improve vehicular access to the seafront and Shoreham Harbour by spending £1.1m removing the cycle lanes on The Drive and grand Avenue in Hove.

The increased traffic levels, particularly the likely greater use by heavy goods vehicles, will increase the risk to other road users particularly pedestrians and cyclists.

These cycle lanes were installed by the same Conservative administration in 2008 at a cost of over £600,000. To spend nearly twice as much removing

them now would be an irresponsible waste of public money. This money would be much better spent constructively elsewhere.

Please stop this before it's too late.”

Lead Petitioner – Councillor Ian Davey

3.2 As the subject matter of the petition relates to an executive function, the options open to the council are:

- To note the petition and take no action for reasons put forward in the debate;  
or
- To refer the petition to the relevant Cabinet Member Meeting; or
- To refer the petition to the relevant Cabinet Member Meeting with recommendations.

#### **4. PROCEDURE:**

4.1 The petition will be debated at the Council meeting in accordance with the agreed protocol:

- (i) The Lead petitioner will be invited by the Mayor to present the petition and will have up to 3 minutes in which to outline the prayer of the petition and confirm the number of signatures;
- (ii) The Mayor will then call on the relevant Cabinet Member to respond to the petition and move a proposed response;
- (iii) The Mayor will then open the matter up for debate by councillors and call on those councillors who have indicated a desire to move an amendment or additional recommendation(s) to the recommendation listed in paragraph 2.1 of the report;
- (iv) Any councillor may move an amendment or recommendation, having regard to the recommendation in 2.1 above and any such proposal will need to be formally seconded;
- (v) After a period of 15 minutes, the Mayor will then call an end to the debate and ask the relevant Cabinet Member to reply to the points raised;
- (vi) The Mayor will then formally put:
  - (a) Any amendments in the order in which they are moved, and then
  - (b) The substantive recommendation(s) as amended (if amended).